

# A FORMULA FOR REGULAR UNIPOTENT GERMS

. D. Shelstad\*

The purpose of this note is to give a simple formula for the Shalika germs corresponding to the regular unipotent conjugacy classes in a reductive p-adic group. It is based on some constructions and a theorem in [2].

Suppose then that  $F$  is a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero and  $G$  is a connected reductive algebraic group defined over  $F$ . For  $\gamma$  regular semisimple in  $G(F)$  we denote by  $\Phi(\gamma, f)$  the integral of  $f \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$  along the conjugacy class of  $\gamma$ . For  $u$  unipotent in  $G(F)$  we use instead the notation  $a_u(f)$  for the orbital integral. Measures are to be chosen as in [2]. For  $\gamma$  near 1 let

$$D(\gamma)\Phi(\gamma, f) = \sum_u \Gamma_u(\gamma)a_u(f)$$

be the Shalika germ expansion of  $\Phi(\gamma, f)$  normalized by the usual discriminant function ([5], or [6] where  $D$  is written  $d$ ). The sum is over representatives  $u$  for the unipotent conjugacy classes in  $G(F)$  and  $\Gamma_u$  is the Shalika germ for the class of  $u$ .

We assume that there exist regular unipotent elements in  $G(F)$ , that is, that  $G$  is quasi-split over  $F$  (e.g. [2, §5.1]), and from now on require that  $u$  be regular. There are three ingredients in the formula for  $\Gamma_u(\gamma)$ . Each is an element of  $\mathcal{E}(T)$ , where  $T(F)$  is the Cartan subgroup containing  $\gamma$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{E}(T)$  is the image of the Galois cohomology group  $H^1(T_{sc})$  in  $H^1(T)$  ([1]). We call the classes  $inv(\gamma)$ ,  $inv_T(u)$  and  $inv(T)$ .

First, if  $\gamma$  is near 1 and  $\alpha$  is a root of  $T$  in  $G$  then  $\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2}$  is well-defined for we may write  $\gamma = \exp X$  and set  $\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2} = \exp(\alpha(X)/2)$ . Suppose  $\{a_\alpha\}$  are  $a$ -data for the action of  $\Gamma = Gal(\bar{F}/F)$  on the roots of  $T$  [2, §2.2]. Then  $(\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2} - \alpha(\gamma)^{-1/2})/a_\alpha$  lies in the fixed field  $F_{\pm\alpha}$  of the stabilizer of  $\pm\alpha$  in  $\Gamma$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.2.B of [2],

$$\sigma \rightarrow \prod_{\substack{\alpha > 0 \\ \sigma^{-1}\alpha < 0}} \left[ \frac{\alpha(\gamma)^{1/2} - \alpha(\gamma)^{-1/2}}{a_\alpha} \right]^{\alpha^\vee}$$

is a 1-cocycle of  $\Gamma$  in  $T(\bar{F})$ . Its class  $inv(\gamma)$  in  $H^1(T)$  lies in  $\mathcal{E}(T)$ . The choice of ordering, or more simply of a gauge, on the roots does not affect  $inv(\gamma)$  [2, Lemma 2.2.C]. The  $a$ -data do of course affect  $inv(\gamma)$  but they will appear again in the definition of  $inv(T)$  and as long as we use the same data in both places the choice will be of no consequence.

---

\* Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 86- 02193

The class  $\text{inv}_T(u)$  was defined in §5.1 of [2]. It requires the choice of an  $F$ -splitting  $\text{spl}$  for  $G$ . Briefly, to  $u$  is attached an  $F$ -splitting  $\text{spl}(u)$ . If  $\text{spl}(u)^g = \text{spl}$ , where  $g \in G_{sc}$ , then  $\sigma \rightarrow g\sigma(g)^{-1}$  is a 1-cocycle of  $\Gamma$  in the center of  $G_{sc}$ . This cocycle defines the class  $\text{inv}_T(u)$  in  $\mathcal{E}(T)$ .

Finally the class  $\text{inv}(T)$  will be the image in  $H^1(T)$  of the class  $\lambda(T_{sc})$  of [2, §2.3]. We recall that the definition  $\lambda(T_{sc})$  is less immediate and requires the choice of  $\alpha$ -data — we use that for  $\text{inv}(\gamma)$  — and an  $F$ -splitting — we use the *opposite* to that for  $\text{inv}_T(u)$  (see [2, §5.1]).

*Theorem: For  $\gamma$  near 1 we have*

$$\Gamma_u(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{inv}(\gamma) = \text{inv}_T(u)/\text{inv}(T) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* We fix  $u$  regular unipotent and suppose  $\gamma$  is sufficiently close to 1 in  $T(F)$ . To each character  $\kappa$  on  $\mathcal{E}(T)$  we attach an endoscopic group  $H = H(T, \kappa)$  and an admissible embedding  $T_H \rightarrow T$  carrying, say,  $\gamma_H$  to  $\gamma$  (see [1]). There is no harm in assuming that each  ${}^L H$  embeds admissibly in  ${}^L G$ . We apply Theorem 5.5.A of [2] to a function  $f_u \in C_c^\infty(G(F))$  supported on the regular set of  $G(F)$  for which  $a_u(f_u) = 1$  and  $a_{u'}(f_u) = 0$  if  $u'$  is not conjugate to  $u$  (e.g. [6]). Thus  $D(\gamma)\Phi(\gamma, f_u) = \Gamma_u(\gamma)$ . Because  $f_u$  is supported on the regular set we can omit the limit from the formula of Theorem 5.5.A and write

$$D_H(\gamma_H)D_G(\gamma)^{-1} \sum_{\gamma_G} \Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) \Gamma_u(\gamma_G) = \Delta(u)$$

for  $\gamma_H$  near 1 in  $T_H(F)$ . We may write each element  $\gamma_G$  as  $\gamma(\omega) = g^{-1}\gamma g$  where  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma(g)g^{-1}$  represents the element  $\omega$  of  $\mathcal{E}(T)$ . Then  $\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma_G) = \kappa(\omega)\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)$  and so

$$\sum_{\omega} \kappa(\omega) \Gamma_u(\gamma(\omega)) = \frac{\Delta(u) D_G(\gamma)}{D_H(\gamma_H) \Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)}.$$

Recalling the definitions of  $\Delta(u)$  and  $\Delta(\gamma_H, \gamma)$  [2, §§5.1, 3.7], we see that this is

$$\kappa(\text{inv}_T(u)) / \kappa(\text{inv}(T)) \Delta_{II}(\gamma_H, \gamma) \Delta_2(\gamma_H, \gamma).$$

But  $\Delta_2(\gamma_H, \gamma)$  is a character evaluated at  $\gamma$  and so takes the value 1 for  $\gamma$  near 1. Also

$$\Delta_{II}(\gamma_H, \gamma) = \prod_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha(\gamma) - 1}{a_{\alpha}} \right)$$

where the product is over representatives  $\alpha$  for the orbits of  $\Gamma$  which are not from  $H$ . For  $\gamma$  near 1 this coincides with the product over symmetric orbits not from  $H$  and then with  $\kappa(\text{inv}(\gamma))$ , by [2, Lemmas 2.2.C, 3.2.D]. Thus

$$\sum_{\omega} \kappa(\omega) \Gamma_u(\gamma(\omega)) = \kappa(\text{inv}_T(u)/\text{inv}(T) \text{inv}(\gamma)).$$

We sum over  $\kappa$  and reverse the order of summation on the left to obtain the theorem.

Note that if  $G(F)$  contains just one conjugacy class of regular unipotent elements, for example if  $G$  is adjoint, the formula becomes

$$\Gamma_{reg}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } inv(\gamma) = inv(T)^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $G = GL(n)$  then  $\Gamma_{reg}(\gamma) = 1$  for all  $\gamma$  near 1; the constant which appears in [3] is that dictated by the different choice of measures. In the case of  $SL(2)$  our formula gives a way of computing the characteristic function which appears in [5], and for  $SL(n)$  we recover Theorem 6.3 of [4], again up to a constant which can be computed directly. For the case of  $Sp(4)$  see also [7].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Langlands, Les débuts d'une formule des traces stable, Pub. Math. Univ. Paris VII, vol. 13 (1983).
- [2] R. Langlands and D. Shelstad, "On the definition of transfer factors," to appear in Math. Annalen.
- [3] J. Repka, "Shalika's germs for p-adic  $GL(n)$ : the leading term", Pac. J. Math., 113 (1984), 165-172.
- [4] J. Repka, "Germs associated to regular unipotent classes in p-adic  $SL(n)$ ," Cand. Math. Bull., 28(1985), 257-266.
- [5] J. Shalika, "A theorem on p-adic semisimple groups", Ann. of Math., 95(1972), 226-242.
- [6] M. -F. Vignéras, "Caractérisation des intégrales orbitales sur un groupe réductif p-adique", J. Fac. Sci., Tokyo 28(1981), 945-961.
- [7] Kim Yandon, "Regular germs for p-adic  $Sp(4)$ ", Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Toronto (1987).

UA 748, CNRS, Université Paris VII

and

Mathematics Department, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112.