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## Graph Data and Complex Networks

Complex networks in nature: social networks, biological networks, the Internet, WWW, mobility data.

- Small world phenomena
- Power law degree distribution
- Community structures (clustered, closely knit groups).
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Understand a single network:

- Community detection.
- Graph learning (label propagation \& prediction)


## Analyzing Graph Data and Complex Networks

Understand a single network:

- Community detection.
- Graph learning (label propagation \& prediction)

Understand a family of networks:

- Network alignment.
- Graph generative model.


## Analyzing Graph Data and Complex Networks

Understand a single network:

- Community detection.
- Graph learning (label propagation \& prediction)

Understand a family of networks:

- Network alignment.
- Graph generative model.

Our project: use geometric tools, Ollivier Ricci curvature flow, to analyze complex networks.

## Curvature in Geometry

Sphere: positive curvature; Plane: zero curvature; Hyperbolic plane: negatie curvature.


## Sectional Curvature in Geometry

Consider a tangent vector $v=x y$ and another tangent vector $w_{x}$ at $x$. Transport $w_{x}$ along $v$ to be a tangent vector $w_{y}$ at $y$. If $\left|x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right|<|x y|$, then sectional curvature is positive.


## Ollivier Ricci Curvature

Take the analog: for an edge $x y$, consider the "distances" from $x$ 's neighbors to $y$ 's neighbors and compare it with the length of $x y$.


How to compute the "distances" between two neighborhoods? Use the optimal transport distance.

## Ollivier Ricci Curvature

## Definition (Ollivier)

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ be two probability measures on $X$. For any two distinct points $x, y \in X$, the (Ollivier-) Ricci curvature along $x y$ is defined as

$$
\kappa(x, y):=1-\frac{W_{1}\left(m_{x}, m_{y}\right)}{d(x, y)}
$$

where $m_{x}\left(m_{y}\right)$ is a probability distribution defined on $x(y)$ and its neighbors, $W_{1}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ is the $L_{1}$ optimal transportation distance between two probability measure $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ on $X$ :

$$
W_{1}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right):=\inf _{\psi \in \Pi\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)} \int_{(u, v)} d(u, v) d \psi(u, v)
$$

## Examples

Zero curvature: 2D grid.


## Examples

Negative curvature: tree: $\kappa(x, y)=1 / d_{x}+1 / d_{y}-1, d_{x}$ is degree of $x$.


## Examples

Positive curvature: complete graph.


## Curvature Distribution

Negatively curved edges are like "backbones", maintaining the connectivity of clusters, in which edges are mostly positively curved.


## Ricci Flow on Manifold vs. on Networks

Hamilton introduced Ricci flow, a curvature guided process.


## Ricci Flow Metric

Intuition: flatten the network - shrink an edge if it is within a well connected community; stretch an edge if otherwise, s.t., the network curvature is uniform everywhere.

$$
d_{i+1}(x, y)=\left(d_{i}(x, y)-\varepsilon \cdot \kappa_{i}(x, y) \cdot d_{i}(x, y)\right) \cdot N
$$
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$$
d_{i+1}(x, y)=\left(d_{i}(x, y)-\varepsilon \cdot \kappa_{i}(x, y) \cdot d_{i}(x, y)\right) \cdot N
$$

Distribution on a node $x$ :

- Uniform distribution.
- $\exp \left(-d\left(x, x_{i}\right)^{p}\right)$, for a constant $p$.


## Theory on Discrete Ricci Flow

Q: Does Ricci flow converge? Does it generate a unique solution?

- Classical manifold setting: contributes to the proof of the Poincare conjecture.
- Discrete Gaussian curvature on a triangulation: established [Gu, Luo, Wu 2019; Gu, Luo, Sun, Wu 2018 I, II].


## Theory on Discrete Ricci Flow

Q: Does Ricci flow converge? Does it generate a unique solution?

- Classical manifold setting: contributes to the proof of the Poincare conjecture.
- Discrete Gaussian curvature on a triangulation: established [Gu, Luo, Wu 2019; Gu, Luo, Sun, Wu 2018 I, II].
- Discrete curvature on graphs: largely unknown.

Ollivier Ricci flow:

- Analysis of a very special case. [Ni, Lin, Luo, Gao, 2019]
- Continuous flow, assumption that the edge $u v$ is the shortest path from $u$ to $v$. [Bai, Lin, Lu, Wang, Yau, 2021]


## Applications of Discrete Ricci Flow

- Community detection
- Network alignment
- Graph neural network


## Community Detection: Karate Club Network



## Community Detection: Facebook Ego Network

792 friends and 14025 edges. The colors represent 24 different friend circles (communities).


## Community Detection: Brain Connectome Network

Brain network from resting-state (rs-fMRI) data, where edges with cross-correlation less than a threshold are removed.

## Cutoff Threshold vs Modularity

Adjusted Rand index (ARI) on Lancichinetti-Fortunato-Radicch (LFR) benchmark network (community size $\sim$ power law).


## Performance Comparison

Adjusted Rand index (ARI) on Lancichinetti-Fortunato-Radicch (LFR) benchmark network (community size $\sim$ power law).

LFR, 500 Nodes, Avg Degree $=20$
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## Robustness of Ricci Flow Metric: Remove Two Edges

Left: Spectral embedding; Right: Tutte/Spring embedding.


## Robustness: Remove Two Edges

Left: Hop count; Right: our metric.


## Evaluation on Resilience

Randomly remove 10 edges in a random regular graph.


## Graph Isomorphism

Given a pair of graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$, find a one-to-one correspondence of the vertices in $G_{1}$ to vertices in $G_{2}$ such that $(u, v)$ is an edge in $G_{1}$ if and only if their corresponding nodes $f(u), f(v)$ are connected in $G_{2}$.
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How to align two sets of points in the plane, assuming that some landmarks $\ell_{i}$ are already aligned?



## Our Solution: A Geometric Approach

How to align two sets of points in the plane, assuming that some landmarks $\ell_{i}$ are already aligned?


- Any point $p$ can be represented by the barycentric coordinates $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right), d_{i}$ is distance to $\ell_{i}$.
- If the barycentric coordinates of $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are similar, we match $p$ and $p^{\prime}$.


## Evaluation on Matching Performance

- Randomly remove one node in a random regular graph w/ degree 12.
- Right: remove randomly 10 edges in a protein protein network.




## Graph Neural Network

Graph Neural Network for node classification: given labels of a subset of nodes, predict the labels of the rest.

- Graph topology $G=(V, E)$
- Vertex features $H$

Vulnerability: Removal/insertion of fake edges can dramatically hurt model performance.


## Robust Graph Neural Network through Resampling

- Recover the underlying metric of $G$ using Ricci flow.
- Re-sample an ensemble of graphs for training.



## Robust Graph Neural Network through Resampling



## Robustness to Graph Topology Attacks

| Dataset | Ptb rate\% | GCN | GAT | RGCN | GCN-Jaccard | GCN-SVD | Pro-GNN | Ricci-GNN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cora | 0 | $83.50 \pm 0.44$ | $83.97 \pm 0.65$ | $83.09 \pm 0.44$ | $82.05 \pm 0.51$ | $80.63 \pm 0.45$ | $82.98 \pm 0.23$ | $83.03 \pm 0.59$ |
|  | 5 | $76.55 \pm 0.79$ | $80.44 \pm 0.74$ | $77.42 \pm 0.39$ | $79.13 \pm 0.59$ | $78.39 \pm 0.54$ | $82.78 \pm 0.45$ | $82.80 \pm 0.43$ |
|  | 10 | $70.39 \pm 1.28$ | $75.61 \pm 0.59$ | $72.22 \pm 0.38$ | $76.16 \pm 0.76$ | $71.47 \pm 0.83$ | $77.91 \pm 0.86$ | $79.70 \pm 0.43$ |
|  | 15 | $65.10 \pm 0.71$ | $69.78 \pm 1.28$ | $66.82 \pm 0.39$ | $71.03 \pm 0.64$ | $66.69 \pm 1.18$ | $76.01 \pm 1.12$ | $77.28 \pm 0.50$ |
|  | 20 | $59.56 \pm 2.72$ | $59.94 \pm 0.92$ | $59.27 \pm 0.37$ | $65.71 \pm 0.89$ | $58.94 \pm 1.13$ | $68.78 \pm 5.84$ | $74.10 \pm 0.63$ |
|  | 25 | $47.53 \pm 1.96$ | $54.78 \pm 0.74$ | $50.51 \pm 0.78$ | $60.82 \pm 1.08$ | $52.06 \pm 1.19$ | $56.54 \pm 2.58$ | $71.73 \pm 0.60$ |
| Citeseer | 0 | $71.96 \pm 0.55$ | $73.26 \pm 0.83$ | $71.20 \pm 0.83$ | $72.10 \pm 0.63$ | $70.65 \pm 0.32$ | $73.26 \pm 0.69$ | $73.95 \pm 0.53$ |
|  | 5 | $70.88 \pm 0.62$ | $72.89 \pm 0.83$ | $70.50 \pm 0.43$ | $70.51 \pm 0.97$ | $68.84 \pm 0.72$ | $73.09 \pm 0.34$ | $73.39 \pm 0.52$ |
|  | 10 | $67.55 \pm 0.89$ | $70.63 \pm 0.48$ | $67.71 \pm 0.30$ | $69.64 \pm 0.56$ | $68.87 \pm 0.62$ | $72.43 \pm 0.75$ | $72.51 \pm 0.62$ |
|  | 15 | $64.52 \pm 0.62$ | $69.02 \pm 0.62$ | $65.69 \pm 0.62$ | $65.95 \pm 0.62$ | $63.26 \pm \pm 0.62$ | $70.82 \pm 2.38$ | $71.99 \pm 0.71$ |
|  | 20 | $62.03 \pm 3.49$ | $61.04 \pm 1.52$ | $62.49 \pm 1.22$ | $59.30 \pm 1.40$ | $58.55 \pm 1.09$ | $66.19 \pm 2.57$ | $68.40 \pm 0.51$ |
|  | 25 | $56.94 \pm 2.09$ | $61.85 \pm 1.12$ | $55.35 \pm 0.66$ | $59.89 \pm 1.47$ | $57.18 \pm 1.87$ | $66.40 \pm 2.57$ | $68.84 \pm 0.51$ |
| Polblogs | 0 | $95.69 \pm 0.38$ | $95.35 \pm 0.20$ | $95.22 \pm 0.14$ | - | $95.31 \pm 0.18$ | $93.20 \pm 0.64$ | $95.72 \pm 0.24$ |
|  | 5 | $73.07 \pm 0.80$ | $83.69 \pm 1.45$ | $74.34 \pm 0.19$ | - | $89.09 \pm 0.22$ | $93.29 \pm 0.18$ | $90.54 \pm 0.47$ |
|  | 10 | $70.72 \pm 0.62$ | $76.32 \pm 0.62$ | $71.04 \pm 0.62$ | - | $81.24 \pm 0.62$ | $89.42 \pm 1.09$ | $86.88 \pm 0.85$ |
|  | 15 | $64.96 \pm 1.91$ | $68.80 \pm 1.14$ | $67.28 \pm 0.38$ | - | $68.10 \pm 3.73$ | $86.04 \pm 2.21$ | $86.10 \pm 0.96$ |
|  | 20 | $51.27 \pm 1.23$ | $51.50 \pm 1.63$ | $59.89 \pm 0.34$ | - | $57.33 \pm 3.15$ | $79.56 \pm 5.68$ | $81.37 \pm 1.24$ 79.95 |
|  | 25 | $49.23 \pm 1.36$ | $51.19 \pm 1.49$ | $56.02 \pm 0.56$ | - | $48.66 \pm 9.93$ | $63.18 \pm 4.40$ | $79.95 \pm 1.89$ |

## Robustness to Graph Topology Attacks

Is the gain coming from graph ensembling or from the choice of metrics?

| Ptb rate \% | Cora |  |  | Citeseer |  |  | Polblogs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | Spectral | Ricci | HC | Spectral | Ricci | HC | Spectral | Ricci |
| 5 | 81.4 | 76.1 | 82.8 | 73.0 | 71.3 | 73.4 | 85.6 | 88.2 | 90.5 |
| 10 | 77.5 | 73.1 | 79.7 | 71.0 | 69.3 | 72.5 | 85.6 | 88.0 | 86.9 |
| 15 | 75.5 | 65.1 | 77.3 | 70.7 | 67.6 | 72.0 | 70.6 | 80.3 | 86.1 |
| 20 | 72.8 | 60.2 | 74.1 | 67.9 | 67.8 | 68.4 | 65.8 | 79.4 | 81.4 |
| 25 | 65.4 | 54.7 | 71.7 | 65.4 | 65.6 | 68.8 | 62.0 | 74.6 | 80.0 |

Cora: sparse
Polblogs: dense, diameter $=4$.

## Conclusion and Discussion

- Classical geometric notions for discrete graph analysis.
- Network embedding: Euclidean, hyperbolic, hybrid?
- Network evolution: why?
- More applications due to robustness of the metric?
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## Github Code

- https://github.com/saibalmars/GraphRicciCurvature Questions and comments?

