Kirsten Sutterlin, Emily Glavin, Andrea M. Spaeth

Physical Fitness and Sleep in College Students Department of Kinesiology and Health, Division of Life Sciences, School of Arts and Sciences Rutgers University New Brunswick

Background

Approximately, 44% of college students report insufficient sleep (<7h/night average)(1). Insufficient sleep is associated with Type 2 Diabetes, cardiovascular disease, depression and obesity, all which may be treated/modulated with physical activity (2-5). However, in addition to sleep deprivation, college students also report a lack of physical activity (6).

One measure of health that has been studied in relation to sleep health is physical fitness. Health-related physical fitness is broken down into cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength, muscular endurance, and flexibility. One study found that as the academic year progresses, sleep disturbances in young adults increase, and physical activity decreases (7). Additionally, sleep disturbances in teenagers were associated with poor performances on sprinting tests, flexibility, muscular strength, and poor academics (1, 8)

Previous studies on sleep and fitness have primarily focused on combative professions, such as those in the military, firefighters, or athletes (2, 9, 10). These studies showed that there is an insignificant effect of sleep deprivati on exercise, however, the subjects used in these studies were accustomed to sleep deprivation due to their professions. Previous studies that examined this relationship in young adults relied on subjective measures of sleep, and also have not examined the relationship between sleep architecture and lower extremity endurance. The present study aimed to evaluate the relationship between sleep architecture and physical fitness.

It was hypothesized that Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep, sleep duration and Wake After Sleep Onset (WASO) are negatively associated with cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and endurance, and flexibility in young adults. It was also hypothesized that worse scores for subjective sleep quality are associated with worse performance on cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and endurance, and flexibility tests in young adults

Methods and Materials

Young adults ages 18-26 years (N= 28; See Figure 1 and Table 1) were recruited from the Sleep Architecture and Body Composition parent study via flyer advertisement and in-person referral. Data collection occurred between November 2019 and March 2020 in Loree Classroom Building on the Rutgers Cook/Douglass Campus (Figure 2).



Figure 1: Participant Consort Diagram





Figure 2: Study Protocol



	Methods and Materials
Measures:	
 <u>Sleep</u>: actigra 	phy and quality data collected in the parent study were link
to fitness data	via a subject identification number.
•	Participants wore a wrist Actiwatch for 2 weeks to track
	Sieep-wake patterns.
•	A sleep profiler device was worn on 2 non-consecutive
	time exact in clean stores (NDEM1 4 and REM) and other
	cloop variables (MASO total cloop time, cloop quality)
	Subjects were then esterorized as Sufficient Sleeper (
	hrs) or Insufficient Sleepers (~7 hrs)
•	Subjective sleep quality was measured through the
	Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index Subjects were categorize
	as Good Sleepers (Global Score $<=5$) or Poor Sleepers
	(Global Score >5)
Outcomes:	(Clobal Coold > 0),
 Cardiorespirat 	tory fitness. VO2 Max: calculated from Heart Rate (beats r
minute: measure	ured via pulse-oximeter) after 3-min Step-up Test.

- - Men: VO2 Max (mL x kg^-1 x min^-1) = 111.33 (.42 x Heart)Rate)
 - Women: VO2 Max (mL x kg^-1 x min^-1 = 65.81 (.1847 x)Heart Rate)
- <u>Muscular strength</u>: Hand-grip Strength Test via hand-held dynamometer [Total Maximal Force (kilograms) = sum of left and right hand].
- <u>Muscular endurance</u>: Push-up Test (until maximum fatigue), Curl-up Test (until maximum fatigue) and Squat test (as many as possible in one minute).
- Flexibility: Sit-and-Reach Test via flexometer (distance reached in forward trunk flexion, centimeters)

Statistical Analysis:

- Multiple Linear Regressions were run to evaluate the relationship between sleep architecture variables and physical fitness outcomes.
- Separate between-subjects ANOVAs were run to examine differences in physical fitness outcomes between Sufficient Sleepers vs. Insufficient Sleepers and Good vs. Poor Sleepers, respectively.

Results

Variable	M (SD)	_		
Age	19.9 (1.8)	-		
Year of Study				
Freshman/First Year	8 (41.1)	· ·		
Sophomore/ Second Year	4 (21.1)			
Junior/ Third Year	2 (10.5)			
Senior/ Fourth Year	3 (15.8)			
Graduate/ Professional Student	1 (5.3)			
Other	1 (5.3)	Table 2. Sleep Architectu	re Variables for Normal Nig	ght of Sleep and 9 Hours Time in B
Gender				
Male	6 (31.6)		Normal Sleen	9h Time in Red
Female	13 (68.4)		Horman Siece	Sit time in bed
Race	40 (50 0)	Sleep Variable	M (SD)	M(SD)
Asian Black/African American	10 (52.6)	RFM Time	1 97 (58)	1 42 (69)
White/Causasian	T (0.3) 6 (01.6)	Reformation	1.57 (.50)	1.42 (.05)
Middle Eastern	0 (31.0)	NREM2 Time	3.27 (.80)	2.52 (.99)
Caffoino Sonvings/day	2 (10.5)	WASO	44 26 (33 21)	65 32 (47 04)
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	1.0004 (1.7) 22.6 (2.9)	14,50	44.20 (00.21)	05.52 (47.04)
Enworth Sleeningss Scale Total Score	23.0 (2.3)	Sleep Time	6.18(1.33)	7.768 (.79)
Sleen Status	0.4 (4.1)	Note: REM= Rapid Eye Movement, NREM= Non-Rapid Eye Movement, WASO= Wake /		
Insufficient Sleen (<7h)	8 (42 1)	Sleen Onset		, ,
Sufficient Sleep (>7h)	11 (57.9)	sicep onset		
Global PSQL Score Category	11 (07.0)			
Good Sleeper (<5)	6 (31.6)			
Poor Sleeper (>5)	13 (68 4)			
Chronotype				
Morning Type	1 (5.3)			
Evening Type	6 (31.6)			
Neither Type	12 (63.6)			

Note: PSQI= Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

Table 3: Sleep Architecture and Fitness Variable Linear Regression Data



Results



Figure 3: VO2 Max by Good and Poor Sleeper Statu Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error





Figure 4: Combined Grip-Strength by Good and Poo Sleeper Status. Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error



Figure 7: VO2 Max by Sufficient and Insufficient Sleeper Status. Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error



Figure 6: Curl-up, push-up, and squat tests results by Good and Poor Sleeper Status. Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error.



Insufficient Sleepers Figure 9: Flexibility by Sufficient and Insufficient Sleeper Status. Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error

Normal Sleep:

- There was a positive relationship between hand-grip strength and WASO (.331 ± .14, p= .031).
- There was a negative relationship between REM time and forward trunk flexion (-5.014 \pm 2.232, p= .038)
- 9 Hour Time in Bed:
- There was a positive relationship between forward trunk flexion and NREM2 time (4.721 ± 1.849, p= .021). **PSQI:**
- There was a negative relationship between subjective sleep quality and hand-grip strength (-5.664 ± 8.894, p= .048). • There was also a negative relationship between the number of push-ups performed and subjective sleep quality (-8.270 ± 3.880, p= .048).
- This study was the first of its kind to evaluate the relationship between sleep architecture and lower extremity muscular endurance.
- It was found that those who slept for longer and had better sleep quality were able to perform more push-ups. • Limitations of this study include a small sample size, and that due to the nature of the parent study and scheduling, participants could not have their fitness measured closely to the time that their sleep was measured.
- Longitudinal studies should be conducted to determine the causality of the relationship between sleep and physical fitness.
- Health initiatives designed to educate college students on the benefits of sleep for physical fitness may help induce positive lifestyle changes in this population.

Acknowledgements

Flexibility p-value 0.04 0.55 0.53 0.15 p-value 0.42 0.82

This project was able to be conducted thanks to the Department of Kinesiology and Health Honors Research Program, Rutgers Aresty Research Center, and mostly Dr. Andrea Spaeth and Emily Glavin. A special thanks to all participants for completing the assessments.

References

1.Lee A, Lin W. Association between sleep quality and physical fitness in female young adults. Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness. 2007;47(4):462. 2.Chennaoui M, Arnal PJ, Sauvet F, Léger D. Sleep and exercise: a reciprocal issue? Sleep medicine reviews. 2015;20:59-72. 3. Atkinson G, Davenne D. Relationships between sleep, physical activity and human health. Physiology & behavior. 2007;90(2-3):229-35 4.Buysse DJ, Grunstein R, Horne J, Lavie P. Can an improvement in sleep positively impact on health? Sleep medicine reviews. 2010;14(6):405-10. 5. Medicine ACoS. ACSM's health-related physical fitness assessment manual: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013. 6.Norris T, Schiller J, Clarke T. Early release of selected estimates based on data from the National Health Interview Survey. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2018.

7.Raudsepp L. One-year longitudinal study found a bidirectional relationship between physical activity and sleep disturbance in teenage Estonian girls. Acta Paediatrica. 2018;107(8):1433-8. 8.Chang S, Chen Y. Relationships between sleep quality, physical fitness and body mass index in college freshmen. The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness. 2015;55(10):1234-41. 9.Grandou C, Wallace L, Fullagar HH, Duffield R, Burley S. The Effects of Sleep Loss on Military Physical Performance. Sports Medicine. 2019:1-14 10.Vaara JP, Oksanen H, Kyröläinen H, Virmavirta M, Koski H, Finni T. 60-hour sleep deprivation affects submaximal but not maximal physical performance. Frontiers in physiology. 2018;9:1437.

- Wake After

RUTGERS Aresty Research Center for Undergraduates





Insufficient Sleepers





Poor Sleepers Good Sleepers Figure 5: Flexibility by Good and Poor Sleeper Status. Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error



Figure 8: Combined Grip-Strength by Sufficient and Insufficient Sleeper Status. Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error

Insufficient Sleepers



Figure 10: Curl-up, push-up, and squat tests results by Sufficient and Insufficient Sleepers. Bar indicates mean measurement ± Standard Error. Sufficient sleepers performed significantly more push-ups compared to Insufficient Sleepers (F(1, 16), 6.404, p= .022).

Discussion