

Ergodicity Economics and Consumer Preferences Himesh Buch, James Hadley, and Aaron Scheiner, under Professor Barry Sopher Aresty Research Center for Undergraduates

Introduction

Economic theory has traditionally treated time discounting, or the devaluation in one's mind of future payoffs compared to present ones, as part of a decision maker's preferences. A new literature, sometimes referred to as "Ergodicity Economics," focuses on alternate decision-making models under which time discounting is dependent on environmental factors rather than individual preferences. In this study, we begin an investigation of a new model that predicts decision makers will maximize the likelihood of a positive rate of growth in wealth.

We consider the choice between two payment plans under two different environments. Option A is a plan of small but frequent payments, while Option B is a plan of larger payments over longer intervals, both options ending after a fixed number of days. The first environment is one without interest rates, while the second is with compounding interest on the current balance of each account

Background

Experimental studies of intertemporal choice have focused mainly on very simple wealth generation processes in which choices between a smaller, earlier amount of money and a larger, later amount of money are offered. Most studies focus on imputing implicit discount rates implied by choices, with focus on violations of stationarity, most typically interpreted as "present bias." We focus instead on wealth generation processes in which either a smaller sum is received at regular, shorter intervals or in which a larger sum is received at regular, longer intervals. Our theory makes point predictions about the behavior of all participants, rather than relying on weaker consistency requirements as in the earlier studies.

Objectives

Our main objective is to compare the choices made when the wealth generation is a simple additive process versus when wealth generation is a more complex multiplicative process with compound interest. For both additive and multiplicative processes, as the interval between payments is increased for both the more and less frequent payment processes, there is a switch point at which the larger, less frequent payment is preferred to the smaller, more frequent payment scheme. But the switch-over point is at a longer interval for the multiplicative process.

Methods

Participants were invited into a laboratory where they answered a 21question survey. Each question let the participants selected their preference or indifference between options A and B, as demarcated in the theory. These questions were selected such that participants should be indifferent when the "horizon", (symbolized H), or the difference between the interval between accumulations of A and accumulations of B, was equal to 4. There were two surveys in use: one where participants could earn interest on their earnings during each interval (Multiplicative), and one where they would not (Additive). Each group was randomly selected to participate in either multiplicative or additive surveys. Decision makers were also given the option of receiving their payment in 10 days by participating in a lottery that could lower their total earnings. The smaller the total they were willing to accept, the more likely that they'd receive that total early. Here's a peek at our findings



Overall, the results showed that the model correctly predicts that a horizon of 4 days results in preference for option B, as seen in the graph below







Our main future direction is to introduce uncertainty about payments, to study choice between alternative stochastic wealth generation processes rather than deterministic wealth generation processes. Our theory based on ergodic considerations makes similarly sharp predictions for this environment as for the deterministic environment, based on the same basic hypothesis that decision makers will choose to maximize the growth rate of their wealth.



Results/Discussion

Future Directions