

Identification of Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Source in Sediment Data from the Spokane River

Introduction

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) are organic, manmade persistent pollutants of chemical formula $C_{12}H_{10-x}Cl_x$ (Figure 1). The various configurations of chlorine atoms give rise to 209 possible PCB congeners, some of which have higher toxicity than others. These molecules where mass produced in the United States between 1930s and 1977 for various industrial applications, prior to their ban in 1977¹. Their intentional production has been banned globally because of their high toxicity, but they are still generated inadvertently through the production of certain pigments². Identifying the source of environmental hazards is a crucial step to be able to properly treat contaminated areas and shut off sources



Figure 1. General Structure of Polychlorinated Biphenyls

Abstract

Our current study is based on PCB data collected from the Spokane River where stormwater and sediment were contaminated with PCBs. The city of Spokane in Washington is alleging that commercially produced Aroclors are the main source of PCB contamination. Our main goal was the study of congener patterns in sediment samples to determine the sources of PCBs in the Spokane River. To answer these questions, we employed data mining, including Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) model. With this model, we can reconstruct PCB concentration data from the sediment samples into sources (fingerprints) and their contributions to total PCBs in each sample. Finally, these PMF results can be used to study if there is any correlation between Aroclor fingerprints and the sediment data under study.

Project Goals

Study of congener patterns in sediment samples to determine the sources of PCBs in the Spokane River



Determine if there is a relationship with PCB data from the Spokane River and commercially produced Aroclors

Lisby Santiago-Pagán, Lisa Rodenburg* Department of Environmental Science, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854 USA





Results

Agreement (R ²) between PCB			
fingerprints			
Sediment Data			
F1	F2	F3	F4
0.008	0.027	0.006	0.010
0.003	0.014	0.025	0.042
0.130	0.015	0.031	0.041
0.215	0.015	0.026	0.038
0.203	0.009	0.010	0.005
0.209	0.009	0.010	0.005
0.210	0.009	0.010	0.004
0.006	0.019	0.006	0.003
0.010	0.065	0.008	0.025
0.009	0.047	0.003	0.000
0.010	0.004	0.000	0.006
	Agree F1 0.008 0.003 0.130 0.215 0.203 0.209 0.209 0.209 0.210 0.209 0.210 0.209	Agreement (R²fingerSedimaF1F20.0080.0270.0030.0140.1300.0150.2150.0150.2030.0090.2090.0090.2090.0090.0060.0190.0100.0650.0090.0470.0100.004	Agreement (\mathbb{R}^2) betweenfingerprintsSediment DataF1F2F30.0080.0270.0060.0030.0140.0250.1300.0150.0310.2150.0150.0260.2030.0090.0100.2090.0090.0100.2060.0190.0060.0060.0190.0080.0090.0470.0030.0100.0040.000

- 0.8 correlation value³.
- between number of samples and congeners.
- employed for future studies.

1. Oceanservice.noaa.gov. 2020. What Are Pcbs?. [online] Available at: https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/facts/pcbs.> [Accessed 22 July 2020]. 2.Rodenburg, L., Guo, J. and Christie, R., 2015. Polychlorinated biphenyls in pigments: inadvertent production and environmental significance. Coloration Technology, 131(5), pp.353-369.

3. Rodenburg, L.A., Leidos, 2017. Green-Duwamish River Watershed PCB Congener Study: Phase 2 Source Evaluation. Seattle, WA. 4. Litten, S., Fowler, B. and Luszniak, D., 2002. Identification of a novel PCB source through analysis of 209 PCB congeners by US EPA modified method 1668. Chemosphere, 46(9-10), pp.1457-1459.

5. Rodenburg, L., Guo, J., Du, S. and Cavallo, G., 2010. Evidence for Unique and Ubiquitous Environmental Sources of 3,3'-Dichlorobiphenyl (PCB 11). Environmental Science & Technology, 44(8), pp.2816-2821.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. EEC:1659818. I would like to extend my gratitude towards my mentor, Dr. Lisa Rodenburg, who guided and assisted me throughout my work. I would also like to acknowledge the RiSE at Rutgers program for giving me the opportunity to develop my project.





sustainable and clean water/rivers



Discussion

• The PMF analysis generated four factors (F1, F2, F3, F4). None seem to resemble commercially produced Aroclors. Agreement (R²) between Aroclors and sediment data was significantly lower than the accepted

• The matrix analyzed was composed of 39 samples and 37 congeners. The PMF program performs best when there are at least as many samples as PCB congeners in the input data set. The program cannot find a stable solution if there is such a low margin of difference

• Pre-processing of data to fit the PMF model lead to an inevitable loss of information. Not all the 209 PCB congeners were considered in the analysis, and therefore some of the PCB mass was not considered.

• The source of PCB contamination for sediment samples under study could possibly arise from non-commercial sources. Because the PMF analysis could not produce a stable solution, further studies must be conducted to make a definite conclusion. Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) analysis as an alternate method of analysis that can be

References



