



Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating

Spring 2020

Half of semester taught remotely due to Covid-19

Tsvietkova, Anastasiia - AT899

Topics Comp Anal - 26:645:623:01

Survey Form: *Standard SIRS

Enrollment: 5

Responses Received: 4

The Student Instructional Ratings Surveys should be considered within the context of the global health emergency of Spring 2020. The considerable changes in instructional and pedagogical formats necessitated by the COVID-19 pandemic imply that caution should be exercised when comparing these results to other instructors or terms. The course, level, and department means reflect the current circumstance and are still provided to allow a comparative measure within the conditions of the semester.

Rutgers University has decided that instructors have flexibility in choosing how or whether to include the Spring 2020 SIRS results in promotion and rehiring materials. Details may vary by campus, rank, or position; please confer with your department chair for more information.

University-wide Questions on Move to Remote Learning Due to Covid-19 Response

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
Despite the abrupt change to remote instruction due to the Covid-19 disruption, the instructor Anastasiia Tsvietkova offered an effective learning experience in this course.	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.75	4.75	4.04	4.10

Note: A low numerical rating for this question indicates the students do not perceive a negative impact on their learning.

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
The move to remote instruction, due to the Covid-19 response, adversely impacted my learning in this course.	0	2	2	0	0	4	2.50	2.50	3.15	3.14

University-wide Instructor Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
The instructor Anastasiia Tsvietkova was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	0	1	3	4	4.75	4.75	4.18	4.23
The instructor Anastasiia Tsvietkova responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	4.28	4.30
The instructor Anastasiia Tsvietkova generated interest in the course material.	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	4.12	4.16
The instructor Anastasiia Tsvietkova had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	4.18	4.20
The instructor Anastasiia Tsvietkova assigned grades fairly.	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	4.11	4.17
The instructional methods of Anastasiia Tsvietkova encouraged student learning.	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	4.09	4.11

Teaching Effectiveness

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor Anastasiia Tsvietkova as:	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	4.09	4.15

University-wide Course Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	0	2	2	4	4.50	4.50	4.05	4.11
I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	4.21	4.21

Course Quality

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	0	0	0	4	4	5.00	5.00	3.95	4.02